| 1                          | BEFORE THE FEDERAL   | ELECTION COMMISSION S   | ECRETARIAT |  |
|----------------------------|--|---|------------|--|
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7 | In the Matter of  MUR 6097  ROY CARTER FOR CONGRESS AND JOHN RHINEBERGER, AS TREASURER         | ) ) ) CASE CLOSURE UN ) ENFORCEMENT PRI ) SYSTEM  |            |  |
| 8<br>9<br>10               | GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT  Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated    |   |            |  |
| 12                         |  |   | 7          |  |
| 13                         | are forwarded to the Commission with   | a recommendation for dismissal.   | The        |  |
| 14                         | Commission has determined that pursuing low-rated matters compared to other higher-rated       |   |            |  |
| 15                         | matters on the Enforcement docket warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to     |   |            |  |
| 16                         | dismiss these cases. The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 6097 as a low-rated matter.      |   |            |  |
| 17                         | In this matter, the complainant, William Miller, Sr., alleges that Roy Carter for              |   |            |  |
| 18                         | Congress and John Covert Rhineberger, in his official capacity as treasurer (collectively, the |   |            |  |
| 19                         | "Committee"), accepted excessive contributions and failed to report contributions related to a |   |            |  |
| 20                         | Committee fundraiser intended to be held on  | June 14, 2008. Specifically, the co   | omplainant |  |
| 21                         | alleges that the Committee accepted contributions from Joseph Burnett, which were in the       |   |            |  |
| 22                         | form of limited edition packages involving access to the musical artist, Joseph Burnett, and   |   |            |  |
| 23                         | special tickets to a concert. Additionally, Mr.  | ecial tickets to a concert. Additionally, Mr. Burnett allegedly provided candidate Roy      |            |  |
| 24                         | Carter with an autographed guitar to auction of  | arter with an autographed guitar to auction off in order to benefit the Committee. Finally, |            |  |
| 25                         | e complainant alleges that the Committee failed to report any transactions, in conjunction     |   |            |  |
| 26                         | with the contributions of the tickets or autographed guitar, for the event on the Committee's  |   |            |  |
| 27                         | 2008 July Quarterly Report it filed with the Commission.                                       |   |            |  |

15

1 The Committee responded that the event scheduled for June 14, 2008 was canceled. 2 The treasurer for the Committee, John Rhineberger, stated that he personally paid for ten 3 tickets to the concert and, therefore, they were not donated by Mr. Burnett, as alleged in the 4 complaint. Mr. Rhineberger noted that four of the ten tickets he purchased were given to 5 contributors to the Committee who wanted to attend the concert. Thus, the Committee 6 supplied four tickets to contributors and according to Mr. Rhinegerger the Committee still owes him a balance of \$316 for the costs he fronted to the Committee. The Committee 7 8 denies that the Mr. Burnett donated a guitar to the campaign. Instead, the Committee has 9 provided a receipt from a pawn shop showing that the guitar was purchased by the candidate 10 with the intention to auction off the instrument at the fundraising event scheduled for June 11 14, 2008. The Committee claims that since the event was canceled the guitar remained the 12 personal property of the candidate, and not a Committee asset. 13 We note that according to the Committee the fundraiser at issue in the complaint was 14 canceled. Thus, the costs associated with the preparation of the event, which were required

provided by the Committee refute the allegation that the Committee accepted a guitar from

Mr. Burnett. Therefore, in considering the Commission's priorities and resources relative to

other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of General Counsel believes

that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss this matter. See

to be reported, appeared to have been de minimus. Additionally, the evidence and statements

20 Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Committee reported a \$250 contribution from Susan McCachren and a \$300 contribution from Mark Clark on its Amended 2008 July Quarterly Report. The Committee claims that the contributors were provided with two tickets each to the concert in recognition of their contributions. It should be noted that neither the Committee's 2008 July or October Quarterly Reports reflect the \$316 balance still owed to the Committee's treasurer for the costs associated with the tickets.

'n

4

ব্য

<u>О</u>

1 2

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss
- 4 MUR 6097, close the file, and approve the appropriate letters.

5

19 20

21 22 23 Thomasenia P. Duncan General Counsel

BY:

Gregory R. Baker Special Counsel

Complaints Examination

& Legal Administration

Jeff S. Jordan

Supervisory Attorney

Complaints Examination

& Legal Administration